UNITE	ED STATES PATENT	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and Ti Address: COMMISSIONER OF P. Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov	rademark Office ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/352,734	07/13/1999	JAMES OWEN	SLA0193	2607
	90 06/04/2002 HNSON & McCOLLO			
	RISON & MCCOLL	Эм, г.С.	EXAMINER	
PORTLAND, C			DANG, I	DUY M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621 DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

ļ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	et al.	
Office Action Summary	09 352,734	OWEN		
•	Daug D)	Group Art Unit 2621	
			<u> </u>	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t beneath the c	orrespondence address	
eriod for Reply	0			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE F THIS COMMUNICATION.		MONTH(8	6) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	s, a reply within the statutory mi fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	nimum of thirty (30) from the mailing da	days will be considered timely. te of this communication .	
tatus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
□ This action is FINAL .				
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			• the merits is closed in	
isposition of Claims				
\mathbb{Z}_{-} Claim(s) $1 - \frac{1}{5}$		is/are	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
		is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are is/are	allowed.	
$\square Claim(s) \15$ $\square Claim(s) _ 1 - 15$		is/are is/are is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s) ☑ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) pplication Papers	·	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ plication Papers ☆ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Pat	awing Review, PTO-948.	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) pplication Papers	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su requir d 🗆 disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su requir d 🗆 disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su requir d 🗆 disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su requir d 🗆 disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is _ approve bjected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su requir d □ disapprove r. a)-(d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ibject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve bijected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(is of the priority documents	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir d □ disapprove r. a)-(d). a have been	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve bjected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(is of the priority documents umber)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir d	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement. ed.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve bjected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(as of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC	is/are is/are is/are are su requir d □ disapprove r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve bjected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(as of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC	is/are is/are is/are are su requir d □ disapprove r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approve bejected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(is of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC	is/are is/are is/are are su requir d □ disapprove r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement. ed.	
 □ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. isapprove bjected to by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(as of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC per No(s)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir d	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement. ed.	

!/

こうしょう うちがい かんしょうしょう

Ì)

48° - 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 11 and vice versa. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35
 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 2-3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-3 and 9-11 recite the limitation "said scanning job" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 2

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmid et al. (US Patent No. 5,659,164. Art of record IDS (paper #2) filed 10/18/1999).

Regarding claim 1, Schmid teaches a method for controlling scanning device using control sheets (i.e., the "MRI such as the bar-coded information" shown in figure and mentioned in col. 4 lines 22-28 functions as the so called "controlling scanning device using control sheets"), comprising the steps of:

starting an imaging job (i.e., the "start scanner" shown at 14 of figure 3A);

locating (i.e., the "place documents with cover sheets on scanner feeder" shown at 6 of figure 3A)a control image within said imaging job (i.e., the "MRI" and "MRI (machine readable information)" shown in figure 1 and mentioned in col. 4 line 22-28 function as the so called "a control image");

processing (i.e., the "analyze, recognize" shown at 20 of figure 3B) control instructions (i.e., the "machine code" shown at 20 of figure 3B) from said control image; and

creating output in accordance with said control instructions (i.e., the "recoding cover sheet info routing ID, config. parameter, etc." shown at 24 of figure 3B).

Regarding claim 8, Schmid teaches a method for controlling scanning device using control sheets (i.e., the "MRI such as the bar-coded information" shown in figure and mentioned in col. 4 lines 22-28 functions as the so called "controlling scanning device using control sheets"), comprising the step of:

starting an imaging job i.e., the "start scanner" shown at 14 of figure 3A); locating (i.e., the "place documents with cover sheets on scanner feeder" shown at 6 of figure 3A) a control image within said imaging job(i.e., the "MRI" and "MRI (machine readable information)" shown in figure 1 and mentioned in col. 4 line 22-28 function as the so called "a control image". Also note the "printed information" (note MRI, and HRI in figure 1) mentioned in col. 2 lines 23-28); creating output for said imaging job (i.e., the "storing document" shown at 26 of figure 3B); processing (i.e., the "analyze, recognize" shown at 20 of figure 3B) control instructions (i.e., the "machine code" shown at 20 of figure 3B) from said control image and using said control instruction for managing said output (i.e., the "set scanner parameters command: resolution, dither, mode, etc." shown at 23 of figure 3B).

Regarding claims 2, and 9, Schmid further teaches an image acquisition task (i.e., the "scanner" shown in figure 2C and

mentioned in col. 3 lines 39-41 functions as the so called "an image acquisition task").

Regarding claims 3, and 10-11, Schmid further an image production task (i.e., the scanning document and storing document as a digitized document mentioned in col. 5 lines 35-38 functions as the so called "an image production task").

Regarding claims 4, and 12, Schmid further teaches a machine-readable format (i.e., the stack of pages shown in figure 1 contained printed information according to col. 2 lines 23-27 satisfies the so called "a machine-readable format").

Regarding claims 5, and 13, Schmid further teaches wherein said control image is text (i.e., the "human readable information (HRI)" shown in figure 1, and "printed information" (i.e., handwritten) mentioned in col. 2 lines 23-28).

Regarding claims 6, and 14, Schmid further teaches wherein said control image is numbers (i.e., the "human readable information (HRI)" shown in figure 1, "MRI" shown in figure 4 (note the numbers printed below barcode), and "printed information" (i.e., handwritten) mentioned in col. 2 lines 23-28 satisfy the so called "numbers").

Regarding claims 7, and 15 Schmid further teaches wherein said method includes the steps of locating a second control image (see figure 1: note the two occurrences of the "MRI".

Either one of the "MRI" functions as the so called "a second control image" according to col. 6 lines 21-23 (note that two machine-readable code markings are user's identification and user's routing information) and applicant's definition of "a second control image" (i.e., an identifier for the user) in page 8 line 1) and processing that control image (i.e., the "analyze, recognize machine code" shown at 20 of figure 3B).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M Dang whose telephone number is 7033051464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on 7033054706. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7038729314 all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033060377.

dmd 5/30/02

LEO BOUDREAU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600